

**Wilson J (Jim)**

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** 02 October 2008 15:44  
**To:** Criminal Justice and Licensing Bill

\*\*\*\*\*  
This email has been received from an external party and has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.  
\*\*\*\*\*

Dear Mr Wilson

I write to protest against the new proposals with respect to the possession of extreme pornography, as detailed in "Revitalising Justice - Proposals to Modernise and Improve the Criminal Justice System" (0065910) p17-p18

- 1) It is badly worded/impossible to prove.
- 2) It appears to unfairly discriminate against the BDSM community.
- 3) It would discriminate against certain films, including Rob Roy, which features a Scottish woman being raped by an Englishman.
- 4) If I were to email you the picture in the rape scene from Rob Roy after these proposals were enacted, we would both be criminals as soon as it entered your email box (ie before you had read it)
- 5) It would do little to ensure society is protected from indecent exposure, as society is already protected. It is appropriately awkward to get this material.

In general, it is preferred that laws actively target men who are actually beating up or raping women. These proposals seem to go after the recipient of some pornographic material who has no way of knowing whether she is being raped or pretending to be raped. It will also criminalize "blooper porn", ie outtakes involving pain/cramps etc as a pained expression doesn't express it's cause.

There is a wide distance between BDSM/outtakes/Rob Roy and actual rape. In my opinion the proposal as stated should not become law.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2007/11/0032.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.